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## 1. Introduction

In a recent paper [1] Chalmers has studied in a general framework the question of uniqueness of best approximation of a continuous function by polynomials which satisfy certain linear restrictions. His results are applicable to many of the standard constraints which have been investigated, such as monotone approximation [6], restricted range approximation [9. 10]. restricted derivative approximation [8], and approximation by polynomials with bounded coefficients [7]. In all of these cases the uniqueness results had been demonstrated previously. The purpose of this note is to apply Chalmers' method to a situation in which uniqueness has not yet been established, and, thereby, to furnish an additional example of the utility of Chalmers' approach.

## 2. Statement of Problem

Let $V^{n}$ be the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree less than or equal to $n$, and let $V_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\left\{p: p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}, a_{k}=0, k=0,1 \ldots, n\right.$. $p \in V_{\theta}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is called a polynomial with positive coefficients (PPC). Such polynomials. which are generalizations of Bernstein polynomials, were studied by Jurkat and Lorentz [2] and Lorentz [4. 5], who were primarily concerned with density and degree of approximation questions. For $f$ a nonnegative function in $C[0,1]$ we consider the approximation of $f$ by polynomials in $V_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. For $n$ fixed, it follows from the usual compactness arguments that there exists a best $n$th degree PPC approximation to $f$ : that is, there exists $p^{*} \in V_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ such that ${ }_{1}^{2}\left|f-p^{*}\right|_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant l f-p$ for all $p \in V_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. Our concern is to demonstrate the uniqueness of $p^{*}$.

[^0]Remark. Since each $p \in V_{0}{ }^{n}$ is nonnegative, the restriction of nonnegativity imposed on $f$ is a natural one. Indeed, there are simple examples of functions which are not nonnegative for which the best PPC approximation is not unique.

The notion of Hermite-Birkhoff interpolation (see [3] for definitions) has been crucial in uniqueness questions of this type. Here, however, there is a difference from the usual case, since we will have to consider HermiteBirkhoff interpolation with linear combinations of derivatives prescribed. Problems of this type have been studied in [3].

## 3. The Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C[0,1], f(x) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. Then there exists a unique $p^{*} \in V_{0}{ }^{n}$ such that $\left\|f-p^{*}\right\| \leqslant\|f-p\|$ for all $p \in V_{0}{ }^{n}$.

Proof. If $p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}$, then, for $j=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(j)}(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{j-i}\binom{j}{i} i!\frac{(n-i)!}{(n-j)!} a_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{j i} a_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider (1) as a system of linear equations in the unknowns $a_{i}$, then the matrix $B=\left(b_{j i}\right)$ is triangular, with nonzero diagonal elements. Hence, $B$ is nonsingular, so that there exists a unique solution to (1) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{j!}\binom{n-j}{k-j} p^{(j)}(0), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define $n+1$ linear functionals on $V_{n}$ by $L_{k} p=\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{k j} p^{(j)}(0)$, $k=0,1, \ldots, n$, where $c_{k j}=(1 / j!)\binom{n-j}{k-j}$. Our linear constraints are

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k} p \geqslant 0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since if $p$ satisfies (3), then, by (2), $p \in V_{0}{ }^{n}$.
We now use the results of [1, Example 4]. Let $e_{x}$ denote point evaluation at $x$. To prove uniqueness, we must show that the set $S=\left\{L_{k_{0}}, L_{k_{1}}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.L_{k_{r}}, e_{x_{r+1}}, \ldots, e_{x_{n}}\right\}$ is independent in the dual of $V^{n}$ for any $0 \leqslant k_{0}<k_{1}<\cdots$ $<k_{r} \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant x_{r+1}<\cdots<x_{n} \leqslant 1$, with $e_{x_{j}} \neq L_{k_{i}}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, r$; $j=r+1, \ldots, n$. (Note that $L_{0} p=p(0)$ and $L_{n} p=p(1)$, and that these are the only point evaluations among the $L_{k_{i}}$. Thus, the restrictions $e_{x_{j}} \neq L_{k_{i}}$ may be replaced by $x_{r+1} \neq 0$ if $k_{0}=0$, and $x_{n} \neq 1$ if $k_{r}=n$. On the other hand, if $x_{r+1}=0$ or $x_{n}=1$, then we may replace $e_{x_{r+1}}$ by $L_{0}$ or $e_{x_{n}}$ by $L_{n}$. Without loss of generality, we may thus assume that $x_{r+1} \neq 1$ and $x_{n} \neq 1$.) The independence of $S$ is equivalent to the poisedness of the following Hermite-Birkhoff interpolation problem:

Let $0<x_{r+1}<\cdots<x_{n}<1$. Does there exist a nontrivial $p \in V^{* *}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{b, j} p^{(j)}(0)= & 0 . & k \quad k_{0}, k_{1} \ldots \ldots k_{r} \\
p\left(x_{j}\right) & 00 & j \cdots r & \ldots \ldots n^{3} \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

The answer to this question is given by the next result. A set of functions $\left\{u_{i}\right\}, i=0.1 \ldots, m$, is a Chebrshee sistem on ( $a, b$ ) if every nontrivial linear combination of $\left\{u_{;}\right\}$has at most $m$ distinct zeros on $(a, b)$.

Lemma. Let $0 \quad k_{0} \cdots k_{1}, \cdots, k_{3} n$ be a sequence of integers. Then the set of functions $\left\{x^{n}(1 \cdots x)^{n-m}\right\}, i=0,1 \ldots, m$ is a Chebysher sustem on $(0,1)$.

Proof. Let $p(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i} x^{h_{i}}(1-x)^{n} x_{i} \quad x^{\prime \prime} \sum_{i=1}^{i / k} b_{i}\left((1 \cdots x)^{i} x\right)^{n-i_{i}}$ $x^{h} q(x)$. Let $u==(1-x)\left(x\right.$. Then $u \in(0, \infty)$ and $q(x) \quad t(u) \cdots \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{i} u^{n \cdots i_{i}}$. Since $\left\{u^{n-\lambda_{i}}\right\}, i:=0.1, \ldots, m$, is a Chebyshev system on ( $0, \infty$ ) [11, p.27], $t$ has at most $m$ distinct zeros on $(0, \infty)$ and $q$ (and hence $p$ ) has at most $m$ distinct zeros on ( 0,1 ).

To prove Theorem 1, suppose $p \in V^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies (4) and (5). Writing $p(x)$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{n}(1-x)^{n-k}$, we have from (4) that $a_{k}: 0$ for $k=k_{0} \cdot k_{1} \ldots . . k_{n}$. so that $p(x) \quad \sum_{k=0 L_{i * k_{i}}}^{n} a_{k} x^{n}(1-x)^{n-k} . p$ is thus a linear combination of $n-r$ functions of the form $x^{k}(1-x)^{n-l}$. Therefore, by the Lemma if $p$ is nontrivial, then it cannot vanish at more than $n \cdots r$ I points of ( 0.1 . . Hence, by $(5), p(x)=0$. so that $S$ is independent, and $p^{*}$ is unique.

We turn now to a characterization of the best PPC approximation. A point $x \in(0.1)$ is called an extreme point for $f, p$ if $f(x) \cdots p(x) \cdots f$. If $f(0)-p(0)<\|-p\|$ and $L_{i} p=0$ for $i * i_{1} \ldots, i_{m}$, then 0 is said to be an extreme point of multiplicity $m$ for $f, p$. If $f(0) \cdots p(0)=f-p$ and $L_{i} p=0$ for $i=i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}$, then 0 is an extreme point of multiplicity $m$ for $f$, $p$ if $i_{1}=0$, and of multiplicity $m=1$ if $i_{1}=0$. Finally, $x=1$ is an extreme point for $f, p$ if $f(1)-p(1)=f-p$, and $L_{n} p=0$. The set $E$ of all extreme points for a pair $f, p$ is called the extremal set, and the number of points in $E$ (counting multiplicity) is called the order of $E$. Our final result, which gives a partial characterization of the best PPC approximation. then follows from [1, Theorem 2].

Theorem 2. If $p^{*} \in V_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is the best PPC approximation to f. then there exists an extremal set for $f, p^{*}$ of order $=n-2$.
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